#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 16.07.2003 13.07.2004 PCT/IB2004/051212 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04L12/28 Applicant KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS, N.V. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention ☐ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VII ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: Authorized Officer

Rothlübbers, C

Telephone No. +49 30 25901-478

D-10958 Berlin Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0

Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840

European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103

### 10/559902

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/051212

IAP8 Rec'd PCT/PTO J8 DEC 2005 Basis of the opinion Box No. I 1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). 2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: a. type of material: □ a sequence listing □ table(s) related to the sequence listing b. format of material: ☐ in written format in computer readable form c. time of filing/furnishing: contained in the international application as filed. ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. 3. 

In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto

has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as

appropriate, were furnished.

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

								<del></del>			
Box No. II Priority											
1.	$\boxtimes$	The fo	llowing document ha	s not beer	n furnished	d:					
		$\boxtimes$	copy of the earlier a	pplication	whose pr	riority has b	rity has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).			66.7(a)).	
			translation of the ea	ırlier appli	cation who	ose priority	has been c	laimed (Ru	le 43 <i>bis</i> .1	and 66.7(b)).	
		Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.									
2.		has be	en found invalid (Ru	tion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.							
3.		It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.									
4.	Add	ditional observations, if necessary:									
	Box	x No. V lustrial	Reasoned stater applicability; citation	nent und ons and e	er Rule 40 explanation	3 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) ons suppor	with regarding such	d to novel statement	ty, invent	tive step or	
1.	Sta	tement									
	Nov	velty (N	)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-15					
	Inv	entive s	step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-15					
	Ind	ustrial a	applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-15					

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

## **20/5**5990**2** IAP8 Rec'd PCT/PTO 08 DEC 2005

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/051212

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following document:
  - D1: JAMES P K GILB: "IEEE P802.15 WORKING GROUP FOR WIRELESS PERSONAL AREA NETWORKS, WPAN" IEEE P802.15, [Online] 31 October 2002 (2002-10-31), pages 1-112, XP002313754 SAN DIEGO Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/15/pub/2002/Nov02/02392r16P802-15\_TG3-LB19-running-comment-resolutions.pdf> [retrieved on 2005-01-17]
- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-14 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 2.1 Claim 1 defines a time T2 that a transmitter needs to change from a receiver to a transmitter state. This definition is not clear, as it is not a unique time for all possible transmitters. According to the problem defined in the application (see page 2, lines 12-14), this time is interpreted as any time shorter than a SIFS.
  - The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claims 1-14 and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):
- 2.2 A method of transmitting data frames over a data network, comprising sending said data frames from a transmitter to a receiver with an Inter Frame Space time, wherein said IFS does not include a time that said transmitter needs to change from a receiver state to a transmitter state (page 36, line 15 page 37, line 38).
- 2.3 The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claim 8, which therefore is also considered not inventive.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IB2004/051212

2.4 Dependent claims 2-7 and 9-15 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, see documents D1 and D2 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.